1	
2	
3	
4	
5	STATE GAME COMMISSION RULE HEARING
6	Agenda Item 11
7	October 14, 2022
8	Held at
9	
10	NEW MEXICO FARM AND RANCH HERITAGE MUSEUM
11	4100 DRIPPING SPRINGS ROAD
12	LAS CRUCES, NEW MEXICO 88011
13	
14	PRESENT:
15	Sharon Salazar-Hickey, Chairwoman
16	Deanna Archuleta, Vice-Chairwoman
17	Gregg Fulfer, Commissioner
18	Tirzio Lopez, Commissioner
19	Roberta Salazar-Henry, Commissioner
20	Michael Sloane, Director
21	Valerie Joe, Assistant Attorney General
22	Stewart Liley, Wildlife Management Division Chief
23	Ryan Darr, Assistant Chief of Information
24	
25	



MS. SALAZAR-HICKEY: Okay. Moving right along, we're going to move to Agenda Item Number 11, where we are going to hear from Stewart Liley.

So with that said, this is — this hearing will please come to order. My name is Sharon Salazar-Hickey, chair to the commission. I will be serving as the hearing officer and be advised by the commission's counsel from the Office of Attorney General. The purpose of this hearing is for the commission to receive public comment on repealing and replacing the Deer Rule, Title 19, Chapter 31, Part 13, New Mexico Administrative Code, which will become effective on April 1st, 2023.

These hearings are being conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Game & Fish Act and the State Rules Act. These hearings are being audiotaped and videorecorded. Anyone interested in a copy of the audio tape or video recording should contact Ryan Darr with the Game & Fish Department.

Public notice of this hearing was advertised in the

New Mexico Register, the New Mexico Sunshine Portal, and

the Department's website. Copies of the proposed

amendments have been available on the Department's website.

Those wishing to comment here today must have registered to

submit public comments.

The rule hearing will be conducted in the following



manner. Staff will present pre-filed exhibits. Exhibits admitted into evidence are available for review by the public on the Department's website. After all exhibits are entered, we will proceed to the presentation of the proposed rule, after which testimony will be taken from the audience.

Participants are asked to wait until they are called upon to speak. In order to ensure that the hearing is accurately recorded, only one person at a time shall be allowed to speak. Any person recognized to speak is asked to identify yourself by name and who you are affiliated with for the record each time you are recognized, and to speak loud and clear to accurately record your comments.

After a person has offered comments, they will stand for questions from the hearing officer. The audience may also ask questions of anyone offering comments, after being recognized by me. These hearings are not subject to judicial rules of evidence. However, in the interest of efficiency, I reserve the right to limit any testimony deemed irrelevant, redundant, or unduly repetitious.

The commission may discuss the proposed new rule after the public comment portion of the hearing. Final commission action, including adoption of the rule, may occur after the conclusion of the presentation and public comment period of each hearing.

The hearing is now open.	Hearing item number 11,
rulemaking hearing on the Deer	Rule, 19.31.13 NMAC for the
record.	

Now, are there any exhibits for the proposed amendments to 19.31.13 NMAC, for the record?

MR. LILEY: Madam Chair, I'd wish to enter six exhibits into the record. Exhibit 1, the notice of the rule making; Exhibit 2, the initial proposed rule; Exhibit 3, the presentation that I'll be giving today; Exhibit 4, the summary of the proposed changes; Exhibit 5, the technical information the we relied upon to develop the rule; and Exhibit 6, the 113 public comments received during the rule making process.

MS. SALAZAR-HICKEY: Thank you, Stewart. Can you please introduce the proposed amendments to 19.31.13?

MR. LILEY: Chair, members of the Commission, again, similar to the elk this is the third time you've heard this rule hearing. Real briefly just going through the public comments as kind of similar comments to elk. You'll see the majority of the comments were on muzzleloaders as well, with four against and modify -- some of the modify was taken account in the change in language that we had on the 1X scope for a person with the disability that was included in when you all passed the Manner and Method Rule.

And then for the most part, you'll see the four -- a



lot of the licenses that we are proposed in favor the license adjustments that we made across the different potions of the state; and I'll get to that here in just a second as we go through, like I do for elk, I'll go through quadrants of the state.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Real quick on deer, unlike elk we do not harvest females. Virtually very few females so harvest is not driving population dynamics in terms of population growth per se. We don't see more deer because of less harvest on the males, what we do see is just a different stage structure of males, older-age class with fewer -- with less harvest and vise versa. So we look to -- we hope to see a long-term trend of over thirty-five doe or fawn, excuse me, per does to maintain population. Same thing above that, we feel like it'll be a growing population. You'll see our four-year average was right at the maintain. So we're probably not necessarily growing population necessarily right now. I think a lot of that's drought among other factors. Hopefully with a little bit better monsoon season this year we'll see a better reproductive survival of those fawns this year.

Real quick, just in the harvest, last year we sold just over 37,000 licenses; about a twenty-eight percent success rate across the state. Again, as you'll note we've harvested almost 9,000 bucks with 138 does harvested state-

wide. Most of the doe harvest is occurring on agricultural fields where we're dealing with deprivation-type issues.

But again, we're a male-harvest strategy.

Like the other big-game species, the proposals are to remove scopes from muzzleloaders, that we looked at already this morning; adjust the season dates to address that calendar shift that we discussed; and then to also minimize overlap of weapon types for seasons and certain GMUs. We don't have elk hunters and deer hunters with overcrowding in specific GMU, too much high-density hunters at one point in time. So that was some of our overlap adjustments that we were doing.

In the northwest area, one of the biggest things that we did is we moved our, kind of a quality management area, moved our youth hunts from the middle of the rut back to --we left a few in the rut, those fifteen and twenty-five tags you'll see. But the majority of those we moved back to October hunts like we had in the previous year; that was -- kind of were a concern over all the age-class males being harvested at too high of a rate. And then you'll see we created a hunt on the Marquez and then also a hunt in -- a new archery hunt in GMU 7 and 9. And then designating GMU 8 as a Quality hunt.

Overall in the northwest it's a license reduction of twenty licenses across all the GMUs or a .4 percent



decrease in the northwest.

Moving to the southwest is adding a new hunt for White Sands Missile Range on Rhodes and Stallion Range and then creating that fork-antlered white-tailed deer cows, white-tailed deer hunt in GMU 21 and 26; 21 is the Black Range, and 26 is down in the Hatchet Mountains, Little Hatchets mainly and the desert around there.

And then creating a fork-antlered white-tailed deer hunt down in the Peloncillo Mountains or GMU 27 and then opening the River Ranch and Double E to be available for deer hunters that drew there. Overall, two percent increase in licenses in the southwest region are 185 licenses.

Moving on to the southeast, this is kind of the area where we probably see more declining buck to ratios through time. And we have some bigger adjustments in license numbers down in there. The Huey hunt area, the Huey was reduced recently to manage some (indiscernible) lands that they were able to hunt on. But this is specifically for the Huey where the deer aren't really there as more. So you'll see we're reducing those licenses down to two.

And then moving GMU 34 hunts, so they don't overlap with the elk hunts, as part of the Elk Rule where you saw we create some new elk to try to fit the windows in there so we didn't have deer and elk hunters on top of each other

and at the same time.

Overall in the southeast again, like I said, this is where we had the biggest cut in licenses for deer. But a five-percent reduction in overall licenses in the southeast for deer or 610 less licenses in the southeast.

And then in the northeast, virtually no changes in there. The only thing we are proposing is allowing a either sex white-tailed deer hunt up there. We are seeing white-tailed deer continue to move westward from Texas and that's in that 55A, so right around where the Raton area to the Colorado border basically is where we're looking at to allow for a harvest of white-tailed deer up there.

An increase of three percent licenses or eighty-five licenses across the northeast. So state-wide it was decrease in licenses overall of 365 licenses or a one percent change. Again, we had increases in some of the northern units but decreases mainly thought the southeast.

So with that I would take any questions you may all have.

MS. SALAZAR-HICKEY: Thank you, Chief.

Would anyone like to comment on the proposed amendments to 19.31.13?

Do we have comments online?

MR. SLOANE: Madam Chair, we have no online comments.

MS. SALAZAR-HICKEY: Wow. Okay. So those that



registered, I guess, no, we don't need to revise the
attendance sheet. And do we need to admit an attendance
sheet into the record? So at this time the attendance
sheet will be marked and admitted as Exhibit Number 7. The
comments submitted in testimony heard during the rule
hearing will be reviewed by the commission and discussed
during the open session of today's meeting. The commission
will vote on the proposed amendments at that time. I would
like to thank everyone present for their participation
today and let the record show that this rulemaking hearing
is adjourned at 1:31 p.m.

Very good. Now, lets move into our open discussion.

I tried to see if we have any comments. I don't think we have any. And nothing online and nobody in-person; I'm looking for that.

So I'll go ahead unless, Vice Chair, you would like to make a motion, but I certainly if you wish.

I'll make the motion to move to adopt the proposed changes to 19.31.13 NMAC as presented by the Department and allow the Department to make minor corrections to comply with filing this rule with state records and archives.

Do I have a second?

MS. ARCHULETA: Second.

MS. SALAZAR-HICKEY: Very good. Thank you,

Commissioner, Vice Chair.



1	So Director, may we have a roll call vote, please?
2	MR. SLOANE: Commissioner Salazar-Henry?
3	MS. SALAZAR-HENRY: Yes.
4	MR. SLOANE: Commissioner Lopez?
5	MR. LOPEZ: Yes.
6	MR. SLOANE: Commissioner Fulfer?
7	MR. FULFER: Yes.
8	MR. SLOANE: Vice Chair Archuleta?
9	MS. ARCHULETA: Yes.
10	MR. SLOANE: Chair Salazar-Hickey?
11	MS. SALAZAR-HICKEY: Yes.
12	MR. SLOANE: Motion passes unanimously.
13	MS. SALAZAR-HICKEY: Thank you.
14	(End of audio)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	



1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, Lindsey Carlson, certify that the foregoing transcript
4	is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.
5	
6	
7	Linday Carlan
9	Lindsey Carlson
LO	4
11	eScribers
L2	7227 N. 16th Street, Suite 207
13	Phoenix, AZ 85020
L 4	
15	Date: February 23, 2023
16	
L7	
L8	
L 9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

